

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,693	03/24/2004	Hiroshi Nakata	1052-04	4406	
35811 IP GROUP OF	35811 7590 11/09/2007 IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER US LLP			EXAMINER	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE			ROE, JESSEE RANDALL		
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1793		
				<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/807,693	NAKATA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jessee Roe	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Au This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,3,5,7,17,19,21,23,25 and 26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,5,7,17,19,21,23,25 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction of the correction access and the correction of the co	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/807,693

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 17, 19, 21, 23 and 25-26 are pending wherein claims 2, 4, 6, 8-16, 18, 20, 22 and 24 are canceled and claims 25-26 are new.

Priority

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. MPEP § 201.15.

Status of Previous Rejections

The previous rejection of claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 17, 19, 21 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toru et al. (JP 2004-84019 A) in view of Tosaka et al. (US 6,110,299) is withdrawn in view of the Applicant's arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 17, 19, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

Application/Control Number: 10/807,693

Art Unit: 1793

unpatentable over Ishizaki et al. (US 3,849,209).

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 17, 19, 21 and 23 are rejected on the same grounds as stated in the Office Action of 19 March 2007.

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Over Ishizaki et al. (US 3,849,209) as applied to claims 1 and 17 above, and further in view of Unrath (US 3,241,215).

Ishizaki et al. ('209) disclose a hot rolled steel plate and strip having superior low temperature toughness and weldability that would be coiled (col. 2, lines 20-60 and col. 12, lines 12-16). However, Ishizaki et al. ('209) do not specify forming a pipe.

Unrath ('215) discloses utilizing skelp (strip metal) in coil form and welding the strip in order to form pipes for such uses as high pressure lines, boilers, oil well casing and tubing (col. 1, lines 29-49 and col. 2, line 54 - col. 3, line 44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the hot rolled steel plate and strip that would be coiled, as disclosed by Ishizaki et al. ('209), and weld the strip to form pipes, as disclosed by Unrath ('215), in order to use the steel for high pressure lines, boilers, oil well casing and tubing, as disclosed by Unrath (col. 1, lines 29-49 and col. 2, line 54 – col. 3, line 44).

Still regarding claims 25 and 26, the Examiner asserts that the steel of Ishizaki et al. ('209) would be electrically resistant because Ishizaki et al. ('209) disclose substantially the same composition as that of the instant invention. MPEP 2112.01 I.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 13 August 2007 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

First, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a finished hot-rolled steel strip) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Second, the Applicant primarily argues that Ishizaki et al. ('209) uses steel containing high carbon quantities outside the claimed ranges. In response, the Examiner notes that it would be unfeasible for Ishizaki et al. ('209) to present all possible compositions in the preferred embodiments from the ranges of carbon, 0.005-0.15% C, as in claim 1 of Ishizaki et al. ('209). A reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill the art, including non-preferred embodiments. MPEP 2123.

Third, the Applicant primarily argues that it is very likely that the CTOD toughness would not be obtained in Ishizaki et al. ('209). In response, the Examiner bears the initial burden of factually supporting any prima facie conclusion of obviousness. Once a prima facie conclusion of obviousness has been established, it is the Applicant's burden to set forth evidence to rebut the prima facie conclusion of obviousness. MPEP 2142.

Art Unit: 1793

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessee Roe whose telephone number is (571) 272-5938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,693

Art Unit: 1793

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JR

EXIV POR ALLELIZA TECETIVA VOR

· REMINO PON ESEMPT